

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 20, 2006 LB 72

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Stuhr, was I accurate in saying that you agree with dropping this word "may" and inserting the word "shall"?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes, Senator Chambers, although I might add, as I stated previously, in last year's amendment we did not add any specific areas, and this...and after discussion we decided there should be some areas that are certainly noted that are important in the education and training. So I will...I will support your amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Stuhr, I don't want you to support something that you cannot, in good faith, support, even though I would proceed with it even if you didn't support it. But I don't want to say that you support it if, in fact, you don't. That's what I want to be sure is not happening.

SENATOR STUHR: Well, we have not had a chance to contact the industry in that every one of those issues, you know, must be included, and I believe that's why we wrote "minimum guidelines may include, but not limited to," to at least give a range of issues that are important and that if they were stated and addressed in the statute that there would be somewhat of a guideline to be followed. Do you understand what I'm saying?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I understand what you're saying, Senator Stuhr. However, the way the language reads, none of these needs to be included.

SENATOR STUHR: Yes, I understand, and that...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So why should we put in a statute, where we give the impression that we're putting in place requirements, when in fact we're not? Why don't we just eliminate that entirely and you can leave it up to this advisory committee--which I'll go into Monday when we get back, not today, there's not enough time--just let them put in whatever they want to, or whatever the industry tells them? I wasn't of